

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 30 December 2003. Responsive to the objections made in the Official Action, Claim 9 has been cancelled by this Amendment and the Specification has been amended.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the Drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(4), because the reference character “19” has been used to designate both speaker and housing.

It is respectfully submitted that the Drawings, Figs. 2 and 5, utilize the reference numeral 19 to designate a single element. However, the Specification erroneously identifies both the speaker and housing with the reference numeral 19. Accordingly, the Specification has been amended to delete the reference to “housing 19” and substitute therefore -- cover 16 --, to be consistent with the rest of the Specification. By the amendment to the Specification, any change to the Drawings is obviated. Further, no new matter has been added by this change.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the Specification as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. The Examiner stated that there was not antecedent basis for the phrase “a supporting extending upward to connect to the opening of the fixed seat”, as recited in Claim 9. Accordingly, Claim 9 has been cancelled by this Amendment to thereby overcome the Examiner’s objection.

MR1035-1276
Serial Number: 10/622,729
Reply to Office Action dated 30 December 2003

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



David I. Klein
Registration #33,253

Dated: 24 March 2004

Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678

